

SLDC, Public Review Draft, public comments

Date Comment	First Name	Last Name	Organization	Part of Count	Chapte	Section	Comment/Question
8/19/2012	Stanley	Logan	none	Central			<p>"QUESTIONS:</p> <p>Have the ""enforcement codes"" and/or ""regulations"" been released? If so, when?</p> <p>If so, what are the specific web addresses to download copies?</p> <p>I was warned to watch for these near the end of 2011 and to expect hearings. (???)"</p>
8/19/2012	Bill	Baker	San Pedro Neighborhood Association	South	1		<p>The County has not honored its agreement with the communities of San Pedro, Golden and Cerrillos to treat all commercial sand and gravel operations as DCIs. The sentence we got inserted into the SGMP in section 2.2.6.2 states that: Sand and gravel mining will be recognized as a DCI and subject to the requirements of the existing mining ordinance and SLDC. There was a special mining session with the BCC at which time this sentence was created by Karen Yank. There was discussion at the time by the County Staff of a threshold of 1 or 2 acres. This was rejected by the representatives from San Pedro, Golden and Cerrillos present at the meeting in favor of designating all commercial sand and gravel operations as a DCI. Now in section 10.19.1 of the Draft SLDC a threshold of 20 acres has been set for designating sand and gravel operations as a DCI. We know from first hand experience that a 5 acre sand and gravel operation equates to 40 to 50 trucks a day driving by the residences of San Pedro with most of them continuing on to drive half the length of the Turquoise Trail. A 20 acre threshold could be 200 trucks a day, which I believe is clearly of County wide Impact. The Santa Fe Gold Corporation is working to open a gold mining operation in the Ortiz Mountains. They have publicly stated that they intend to sell the gravel generated by their gold mining operation, with an initial estimated 100 trucks a day climbing to 200 trucks a day by the end of the decade. All of these trucks will be driving on the Turquoise Trail National Scenic Byway. By the end of the decade we could have hundreds of sand and gravel trucks routinely driving the Turquoise Trail from multiple sand and gravel operations. All of the County sand and gravel operations need to be regulated by as DCIs. Please remove the 20 acre threshold from section 10.19.1 and classify all commercial sand and gravel operations as DCIs. Honor your previous commitment to the communities of Santa Fe County.</p>
9/11/2012	Kim	Shannahan	Santa Fe Area Home Builders Association	El Dorado	7	7.14	<p>SFAHBA stands in full support of Section 7.14- Energy Efficiency. County staff analysis has demonstrated that a HERS 70, while adding nominal cost to construction achieves savings to the consumer from day one, especially for residents who heat with propane. The 2012 International Energy Conservation Code, which is being adopted by many jurisdictions is presumed to have a HERS equivalency of 70, so this is clearly not radical.</p>

Date Comment	First Name	Last Name	Organization	Part of Count	Chapte	Section	Comment/Question
9/11/2012	Kim	Shannahan	Santa Fe Area Home Builders Association	El Dorado	7	7.13.3.7	The section requiring that the cisterns be connected to a pump and drip irrigation system to serve landscaped areas would prohibit water harvestings for domestic re-use such as toilet flushing. This would mean that Commissioner Holian's new house that uses harvesting for domestic uses would be illegal. The section needs more flexibility.
9/12/2012	Toni	Olson	San Pedro Neighborhood Association; Turquoise Trail Preservation Trust	South	10	10.19.1 - 10.19.2	<p>In the planning stage of the County code we all attended a special meeting that focused on how Sand and Gravel Extraction would be dealt with in the new code. Commissioner Stefanics attend as well. It was agreed upon that sand and gravel would no longer be exempt from the stringent regulations in the mining code and that all mining and related activities including sand and gravel extraction would be treated the same and be considered a DCI.</p> <p>This agreement is in writing in the SGMP in section 2.2.6.2 that states: Sand and gravel mining will be recognized as a DCI and subject to the requirements of the existing mining ordinance and SLDC. Furthermore, because we had taken great care to reach this agreement in the planning stage we did not have a focus group to address mining in the writing of the code.</p> <p>Now in the Draft SLDC it is apparent that a large oversight was made. In section 10.19.1 a threshold of 20 acres and/or use of blasting has been set for designating Sand and Gravel Operations as a DCI. I know from firsthand experience that many quarries do not use blasting and that a 5-acre sand and gravel operation equates to 40 to 50 trucks a day. A 20-acre operation could use 200 trucks a day, which we believe is clearly of Countywide Impact.</p> <p>Also, in Section 10.19.2 of this Draft it is allowing related uses activities at the same site of a mining operation less than 20 acres (with no blasting) to be exempt from being looked at as a DCI. These related activities could include road materials fabrication plants, asphalt hot mix plants, concrete batch plants and the use of mobile equipment such as crushers, stackers and conveyors that would all definitely cause Countywide Impact.</p> <p>All of the County's Sand and Gravel Extraction operations and related activities need to be regulated as a DCI.</p> <p>Section 10.19.1 and 10.19.2 need to be reviewed and corrected to comply with the County's original agreement to recognize sand and gravel mining as a DCI and subject to the existing mining ordinance and SLDC. It is important that the County follow through with their previous commitment to the communities of Santa Fe County. Any other decision would negate the entire planning process and violate the trust built between the County and its people.</p> <p>Please join me in asking the County to correct this error.</p>

Date Comment	First Name	Last Name	Organization	Part of Count	Chapte	Section	Comment/Question
9/12/2012	Karen	Yank	Karen Yank, Golden; Bill Baker, San Pedro; Ross Lockridge & Ann Murray, Cerillos;	South	10	10.19.1 - 10.19.2	<p>In the planning stage of the County code we all attended a special meeting that focused on how Sand and Gravel Extraction would be dealt with in the new code. Commissioner Stefanic attend as well. It was agreed upon that sand and gravel would no longer be exempt from the stringent regulations in the mining code and that all mining and related activities including sand and gravel extraction would be treated the same and be considered a DCI. This agreement is in writing in the SGMP in section 2.2.6.2 that states: Sand and gravel mining will be recognized as a DCI and subject to the requirements of the existing mining ordinance and SLDC. Furthermore, because we had taken great care to reach this agreement in the planning stage we did not have a focus group to address mining in the writing of the code.</p> <p>Now in the Draft SLDC it is apparent that a large oversight was made. In section 10.19.1 a threshold of 20 acres and/or use of blasting has been set for designating sand and gravel operations as a DCI. We know from firsthand experience that many quarries do not use blasting and that a 5-acre sand and gravel operation equates to 40 to 50 trucks a day. A 20-acre operation could use 200 trucks a day, which we believe is clearly of Countywide Impact. Also, in section 10.19.2 of this Draft it is allowing related uses activities at the same site of a mining operation less than 20 acre with no blasting to be except from being looked at as a DCI. These related activities could include road materials fabrication plants, asphalt hot mix plants, concrete batch plants and the use of mobile equipment such as crushers, stackers and conveyors that would all definitely cause Countywide Impact. All of the County's Sand and Gravel Extraction operations and related activities need to be regulated as a DCI. We ask the county to remove Sand and Gravel Extraction from section 10.19.1 and honor our previous commitment to the communities of Santa Fe County. Any other decision would negate the entire planning process and violate the trust built between the County and its people.</p>